

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/810,314

Applicant(s)

SCHWEITZER ET AL.

Examiner

Afsar M Qureshi

Art Unit

2667

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Afsar M Qureshi.

(3) _____.

(2) Clark A. Puntigam.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 January 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: No.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In order to avoid a possible rejection under 35 USC 112 and broadening of the claim 1, it was agreed to amend the claim 1, lines 15-18 to read as follows: --means within the apparatus for configuring communication parameters, including communication parameters for at least....--.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


AFSAR QURESHI
PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner's signature, if required